

British

Antarctic Survey

C₄ Grasses and Climate in the Late Oligocene? **A Modelling Study**

D.J. Lunt (1,2), I. Ross (1), P.J. Hopley (1), P.J. Valdes (1)

(1) BRIDGE (Bristol Research Initiative for the Dynamic Global Environment, University of Bristol, UK (2) British Antarctic Survey, UK

PP21B-1679

d.j.lunt@bristol.ac.uk

www.bridge.bris.ac.uk



(1) INTRODUCTION

URAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

C₄ plants are physiologically adapted to conditions of low atmospheric CO₂ concentration, high temperature and low water availability, and under such conditions, they have a competitive advantage compared to C₃ plants.

The Late Miocene expansion of C4 grasses has been documented through changes in δ^{13} C of fossil mammalian tooth enamel, reflecting the balance of C3 and C4 vegetation in the mammalian diet.



This expansion has been attributed to decreasing levels of atmospheric CO2 through the Early to Mid Miocene, or changes in seasonal patterns of precipitation.

In this poster, we use a GCM and a 'state of the art' vegetation model to test to what extent these observations are reproducible, and to test various hypotheses for their ultimate cause

(2) VALIDATION OF LPJ VEGETATION MODEL

The current distribution of C4 grasses is concentrated in sub-tropical regions, with a maximum in the tropical and warm-temperate grasslands of the Sahel and southern Africa, reflecting the advantage that C4 grasses have over C3 plants in hot, arid regions. This agrees reasonably well with stable savanna data from Sankaran et al (2005).



(3) GCM-SIMULATED CLIMATES

We use the UK Met Office atmosphere-ocean coupled model, HadCM3L, to simulate the pre-industrial and Late Oligocene (27 Ma) climate. The reason for this precise date is fairly arbitrary - it is long enough before the observed transition to C4 grasses to have a well-defined basis for comparison. The continental tectonic changes are from a reconstruction by Paul Markwick. We set CO2 to 3x preindustrial for the Chattian.



(4) VEGETATION MODEL RESULTS

We then use the pre-industrial and Late Oligocene simulated climates (temperature, precipitation, and cloud-cover) as input to the LPJ vegetation model. This predicts fractions of various plant functional types, including C4 grasses.



Clearly, the LPJ model is predicting not only a significant C₄ grass population, but actually increased from pre-industrial. The zonal mean predicted C₄ fraction in the two simulations is shown below, left.

In order to understand these differences better, we also carry out simulations with the Late Oligocene climate, but preindustrial CO₂, and vice-versa. The results are shown below, middle. This shows that the increased Late Oligocene CO₂ is massively decreasing the potential for C₄ plant growth. We also carry out simulations in which the precipitaiton is reversed between the two simulations (below, right). This shows that the low precipitation at the Late Oligocene over southern Africa is increasing the C. plant fraction. Overall, the principal factor behind the modelled increase in C. grasses at the Late Oligcene is the increased temperature, which dominates over the increased CO₂.



(5) DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS - see our submitted paper for more information!

We have found that the LPJ vegetation model, when forced by climate predicted by the HadCM3L GCM, predicts significant C. grasses at the late-Oligocene, (27MyrBP); in fact, a greater population than for the pre-industrial. The data indicates that in reality, C. grasses did not expand until approximately 8MyrBP, ~20Myr later!

ensitivty studies show that the modelled increase in Late Oligocene C. grasses is mainly due to the higher simulated temperatures at the Chattian, and also the drier climate in outhern Africa. It is despite the high CO. levels at the Chattian, which significantly decrease the C. population. The change in land-sea mask and cloud cover make very little difference to the predicted C. distributions (not shown)

So, if this evolutionary niche existed at this time, why was it not filled until ~20 million years later? One possibility is that the simulated GCM climates are wrong, and that a better forcing climate would result in much reduced Chattian C grasses. We think that this is unlikely, as sensitivity studies have shown that the result is quite robust, and C grasses are actually predicted for a wide variety of Phanerozoic climates (not shown), with various land-sea masks and CO, concentrations. Another possibility is that the vegetation model is wrong. We get a very similar response when using the TRIFFID vegetation model (see submitted paper); however it could be that neither model properly represents the effects of wrong. We get a very similar resp high CO₂ levels on vegetation

Alternatively, it is possible that C grasses took a long time to evolve, despite the climatic conditions being favourable for them to do so for several million years. Or that the observed shift in δ^{u} C is in fact reflecting the changing habits/evolution of grazers